

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/712,577	10/712,577 11/12/2003		Morito Morishima	393032041900	8989	
25224	7590	06/28/2006		EXAMINER		
		ERSTER, LLP	NGUYEN, LINH THI			
555 WEST I SUITE 3500		REET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGELES, CA 90013-1024				2627		
				DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006	DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/712,577	MORISHIMA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Linh T. Nguyen	2627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHI WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 No.	ovember 2003.				
•—	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o					
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 November 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Infor	ot(s) Ce of References Cited (PTO-892) Ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Ce of Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Cer No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by Onodera et al (US Publication 2001/0026531).

In regards to claims 1, 5, and 6, Onodera et al discloses a visible image forming method, computer program and apparatus for forming a visible image on an optical disk by an optical disk recording apparatus (Fig. 10), which is designed for applying a laser beam onto an optical disk according to first recording data of a predetermined format to form pits having lengths specified by the first recording data (Fig. 8, element 1a), the method comprising: a generating step for generating second recording data (Fig. 8, element 2a) by embedding image formation data of a visible image in a part of a predetermined format which is the same as predetermined for the first recording data (Paragraph [0069], does matter which program areas 1a or 2a to form the visible image onto); an extracting step for extracting the image formation data of the visible image from the second recording data of the predetermined format (Paragraph [0111]); and a forming step for forming pits in the optical disk according to the extracted image formation data so as to form the visible image on the optical disk (Paragraph [0112]).

In regards to claim 2, Onodera et al discloses the visible image forming method

Application/Control Number: 10/712,577 Page 3

Art Unit: 2627

according to claim 1, wherein the optical disk has a spiral guiding groove on a recording surface of the optical disk, the spiral guiding groove being divided substantially at an equal interval to define a sequence of regions along the spiral guiding groove, and wherein the image formation data of the visible image specifies the length of the pit to be formed in each region of the spiral guiding groove (Paragraph [0119]).

In regards to claim 3, Onodera et al discloses the visible image forming method according to claim 2, further comprising a converting step preceding the generating step for converting original image data representing the visual image by rectangular coordinates into the image formation data specifying the length of the pit to be formed in each region of the spiral guiding groove (Fig. 12, S118-S112; Paragraph [0121]).

In regards to claim 4, Onodera et al discloses the visible image forming method according to claim 1, wherein the predetermined format has blocks each having a plurality of frames (It is well known in the art that the disk is format in blocks each having plurality of frames), each frame having a region for containing main data to be recorded, and wherein the generating step embeds the image formation data of the visible image in a part or whole of the region of each frame (Paragraph [0112], lines 6-11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,577 Page 4

Art Unit: 2627

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 5 is drawn to a "program" per se as recited in the preamble and as such is non-statutory subject matter. See MPEP § 2106.IV.B.1.a. Data structures not claimed as embodied in computer readable media are descriptive material per se and are not statutory because they are not capable of causing functional change in the computer. See, e.g., Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory). Such claimed data structures do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and other claimed aspects of the invention, which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized. contrast, a claimed computer readable medium encoded with a data structure defines structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and the computer software and hardware components which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. Similarly, computer programs claimed as computer listings per se, i.e., the descriptions or expressions of the programs are not physical "things." They are neither computer components nor statutory processes, as they are not "acts" being performed. Such claimed computer programs do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and other claimed elements of a computer, which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/712,577 Page 5

Art Unit: 2627

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Linh T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-5513. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, A. Wellington can be reached on 571-272-4483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LN June 23, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER